

PTS-15758
No. 3/30/2016-MsDP
Government of India
Ministry of Minority Affairs

11th Floor, Pt. Deen Dayal Antodaya Bhavan,
C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi – 110003
Dated: 23.12.2016

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Minutes of the 123rd meeting of Empowered Committee under Multi-Sectoral Development Programme held on 20.12.2016 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith Minutes of the 123rd meeting of Empowered Committee under Multi-Sectoral Development Programme held on 20.12.2016 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs for necessary action.

G. Mishra

(Geeta Mishra)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Tel: 011-24364282

To:

1. Ministry of Finance, (Secretary, Department of Expenditure), North Block, New Delhi
2. Advisor (Social Sector), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhawan, New Delhi
3. Secretary, Ministry of Women & Child Development, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
4. Secretary, Ministry of Labour & Employment, Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. Secretary, Ministry of Rural Development, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
6. Secretary, Department of Drinking Water Supply, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
7. Secretary, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
8. Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
9. Secretary, Department of School Education and Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
10. Secretary, Ministry of Skill Development, Shivaji Stadium, New Delhi.

Copy for information:-

1. Secretary (MOBC), (MI/Minority and Other Backward Classes), New Secretariat, Western Block Govt. of Manipur, Imphal
2. Secretary, Minority Welfare Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3. Pr. Secretary, Minority Welfare, Govt. of Haryana, Chandigarh.
4. Pr. Secretary, Minority Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. Secretary, Minority Welfare, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

F.No.3/30/2016-PP
Govt. of India
Ministry of Minority Affairs

MINUTES OF THE 123rd MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME HELD ON 20.12.2016 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.

The 123rd Meeting of the Empowered Committee (EC) for Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP) was held on 20.12.2016, under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs to consider and approve the project proposals with regard to the Minority Concentration Blocks/Towns received from State Governments of Manipur, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar Jharkhand and Haryana. The representatives of the Ministry of Skill Development & Entrepreneurship, Ministry of Drinking Water & Sanitation, Ministry of WCD, NAWADCO, Government of Jharkhand (Jt. Secretary, Dy. Secretary) attended the meeting. The State representative of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand, Haryana & Manipur alongwith district representative of States also attended the meeting through video conferencing.

2. **Progress on website:** The progress of implementation of MsDP is reported on quarterly basis to the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of various projects in terms of funds released by the Ministry of Minority Affairs and utilized by the State/UT; number of works sanctioned, completed and works in progress is regularly monitored. These details of works are placed in the Ministry's website for transparency. State Governments/UT Administrations are required to review the implementation on quarterly basis; ensure that Central funds are released to the districts within one month of sanction; State share (wherever applicable) released along with the Central funds; ensure that the executing agencies start the construction works at the earliest, and complete the construction works within the scheduled period.
3. **Adhering to guidelines and eliminating duplication:** States/UTs should ensure that the proposals sent have the approval of the State Level Committee of MsDP / Mission Director of CSS concerned in order to ensure that the proposal, in terms of specification, norms, standards etc., is in accordance with the guidelines of the scheme concerned and that duplication has been ruled out. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. Accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.
4. The States/UTs were advised by the Empowered Committee to ensure that the catchment area of the assets created under MsDP have substantial minority population. Further the States/UTs would also ensure that the ownership of the asset created would be with the Govt/Govt body.
5. **Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) guidelines:** MsDP provides that there would be no change in guidelines of any existing Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) under implementation in such districts for which this programme would provide additional funds. In case schemes for individual benefits are taken up under the programme, there shall be no divergence from existing

G. Mishra

norms for selection of beneficiaries from the list of BPL families in the district, so that benefits from the additional funds flow to all BPL families and not selectively. However, the provision under Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) guidelines for making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST) will not be followed under MsDP. The entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST).

6. **Timely release of funds:** The sanction letters of the Ministry stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time, but the States/UT have been generally taking much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. State shares, wherever applicable, are not released along with the Central funds by some States. Prompt release of funds was advised to ensure that works are started at the earliest.
7. **Utilization Certificate:** For release of second installment, utilization certificate (UC) is required. If the UC is furnished within a period of one year after release by the Ministry, 60% UC would be required for releasing the next installment. However, if utilization is made beyond the period of a year, 100% utilization would be necessary. The UCs would need to be accompanied by QPR showing physical progress as proof of the work in progress. The Utilization Certificates of the 2nd and subsequent installment should also be submitted by the States/UTs to the Ministry.
8. **Sample testing and quality control:** Quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. All the States/UTs were advised to carry out field visits, quality control tests and review all works under MsDP to ensure that the shortcomings do not take place in their State/UT.
9. **Inclusion of Members of Parliament in State and district level committees:** Members of Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government. It should be ensured that they are invited to attend the meetings of the district and State level committees.
10. **Display boards:** Para 16.1(ii) of the scheme of MsDP provides for a 'display board' which should have- Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. States/UT should ensure this and put up a permanent display on completion of each project.
11. General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee for approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of State's/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP. State Government/UT administration concerned should ensure that the following have been complied with:-

h. Mishra

Approval of the State department concerned/Mission Director for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned have covered the following --

- (a) **Need** for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP.
- (b) Duplication has been eliminated.
- (c) Proposal is as per norms, specifications, layout design, funding norms and cost norms of the CSS guidelines concerned.
- (d) **Separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained** and details sent to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avbiding double counting and duplication.
- (e) Estimates have been prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and have been approved by a competent engineering department of the State Govt.
- (f) **Staff is available** for functioning unit or will be provided for new unit.
- (g) **Recurring expenditure** would be provided by the State Government.
- (h) Land is available and/or will be made available by the State Government in villages/locations having the highest proportion (percentage) of minority population.

12. **Review meetings of Oversight committee in State and district level committees:** The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also acts as the Oversight Committee for MsDP. Quarterly review meetings for State level committee, State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. It should be ensured that Members of Parliament nominated in such Committees are invited to attend the meetings.

13. **Photographs:** Photographs of completed works and works in progress indicating type of asset, name of location/village and date should be sent by email to shubhendu.ss@nic.in.

14. **Provision of toilets and bathrooms :** The EC emphasized that there should be adequate number of toilets and bathrooms and provision of water in the proposed projects.

15. The Chairman (EC) emphasized that the benefits accrued by the implementation of MsDP should go to the Minority Communities properly. Therefore, not only location of the assets in the areas having substantial minority population is important, but it is also equally important to see that the assets created are actually imparting benefits to the minorities. The State Governments were impressed upon to propose the locations of projects under MsDP accordingly.

G. Mishra

(A). Andhra Pradesh

The proposal of Andhra Pradesh for construction of Sadbhav Mandaps were considered during the 122nd EC meeting. Based on the observation of the EC, the State Govt of Andhra Pradesh has submitted the revised proposal. The Government of Andhra Pradesh has now submitted project proposals for construction of 4 Sadbhav Mandaps in Ananthapuramu, Guntur, Kurnool and YSR Kadappa Districts of Andhra Pradesh with a unit cost of Rs. 100 lakhs. Out of this, two units of Sadbhav Mandap proposed at Narasaraopet MCT and Guntakal MCT have already been approved by 121st EC.

3. The state has submitted following declaration as prescribed in Appendix II of the guidelines:-

- The land for all construction activities is available.
- It has been ensured that all locations proposed have at least 25% minority population in its catchment area.
- It has been ensured that all the school where ACRs, Labs and Hostels are proposed have at least 25% enrolment of the minority students.
- The Cost estimates proposed for different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/ design prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work
- No duplication of the work with any Scheme of the Center /State Government and concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted in this regard.
- Maintenance and recurring cost related will be borne by the concerned department of State Govt.
- The plan proposal has been approved by the State Level Committee for PM's New 15 Point Programme.

The EC approved the following projects and decided to release the 1st instalment upto 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects:-

1. Kurnool

Adoni (MCT)								Rs. In lakhs
Sl. No.	Name of Projects	Sharing Ratio	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Central Share	State Share	1 st installment
1	C/o Sadbhav Mandap at Adoni	60:40	1	100	100	60	40	30
	Total					60	40	30

G. Mishra

2. YSR Kadapa

Proddatur(MCT)								Rs. In lakhs
Sl. No.	Name of Projects	Sharing Ratio	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Central Share	State Share	1 st installment
1	C/o Sadbhav Mandap at Proddatur	60:40	1	100	100	60	40	30
	Total					60	40	30

(B). Manipur

The Empowered Committee considered the project proposals submitted by the State Govt. of Manipur in respect of MCBs of Manipur. The EC observed that the projects proposed by the State are mainly related to construction of smaller projects. The EC discussed this issue with the State and district representative of Manipur and opine that instead of going for smaller projects viz. construction of ACRs, ring-well, hand pump, micro-water supply project, the State may look for approval of bigger, visible and impactful assets like Residential Schools, ITIs, Hostels etc. The EC suggested that the State may re-visit the projects accordingly and if needed may consult the Ministry for formulation of projects like in bigger sector. The State agreed to the suggestion of EC and assured that they will revisit their proposals.

(C). Haryana

The Empowered Committee considered the project proposals submitted by the State Govt. of Haryana for construction of Sadbhav Mandap in various MCBs of State. Since, the State have not submitted requisite declaration in Appendix-II of the guidelines, the EC decided to defer the proposal till the submission of requisite documents by the State.

(D). Bihar

The State Govt. of Bihar has submitted project proposals in respect of six districts for implementation of MsDP during 12th Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP. The State Govt. has submitted the declaration in appendix-II of guidelines of MsDP for all the projects:-

- I. The cost estimates proposed for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/design prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- II. It has been ensured that all the school where ACRs, Labs and Hostels are proposed have at least 25 % enrolment of the minority students.

G. Mishra

- III. It has been ensured that there is no duplication of the work with any scheme of the Central Government or a State Government and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted in this regard.
- IV. The land for all construction activities is available.
- V. The maintenance and the recurring cost related to the assets proposed in this plan will be borne by the State Govt.
- VI. The Plan proposal has been recommended by the State Level Committee for PM's New 15 Point Programme.

2. The Empowered Committee in its 122nd meeting considered the project for construction of High Schools and 100 bedded hostel. In case of 50 bedded hostels the EC desired that instead of approving a lump-sum cost for the project, the State may work out the cost estimate keeping in view the norms of RMSA/SSA and submit it to the Ministry so that it can be considered by EC in its next meeting.

3. The State Govt. of Bihar informed the EC that they have revisited their estimates for construction of 50 bedded hostels and the amount for each unit remains the same. The EC discussed this matter and with the consent of Pr. Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, approved the projects for construction of 50 bedded Hostels at the unit cost of Rs.100 lakh. The Pr. Secretary, Govt. of Bihar informed the EC that the State Government will bear the cost difference of the project.

4. The EC approved the following projects and decided to release the 1st instalment (all amount in Rs. Lakh) upto 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects:-

1. Darbhanga District

i. Gora Boram

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st install ment
I	Construction of 50 bedded boys hostel at Upgraded Boram high school & upgrade Kotram high school (Kh. No. 1418, 549 Ks. No. 2618/754, 591)	60:40	2	100	120.00	80.00	200.00	60.00
	Total				120.00	80.00	200.00	60.00

ii. Jale

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st install ment
I	Construction of 50 bedded boys hostel at Jale high school & Basant high school (Kh. No. 63, 1189 Ks. No. 6522, 1302, 1171)	60:40	2	100	120.00	80.00	200.00	60.00
	Total				120.00	80.00	200.00	60.00

G. Mishra

iii. Keotiranway

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of 50 bedded boys hostel at Khirma (Kh. No. 831 Ks. No. 1266, 1267, 2547, 1251)	60:40	1	100	60.00	40.00	100.00	30.00
	Total				60.00	40.00	100.00	30.00

iv. Singhvada

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of 50 bedded boys hostel at Basudev Mishra(Kh. No. 755 Ks. No. 7073)	60:40	1	100	60.00	40.00	100.00	30.00
	Total				60.00	40.00	100.00	30.00

v. Hayaghat

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of 50 bedded boys hostel at Hyaghat (Kh. No. 119 Ks. No. 378, 379)	60:40	1	100.00	60.00	40.00	100.00	30.00
	Total				60.00	40.00	100.00	30.00

2. Rohtas district

Sasarm MCT

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of 50 bedded hostel at Rameshwarganj and Sasaram	60:40	2	100	120.00	80.00	200.00	60.00
	Total				120.00	80.00	200.00	60.00

(E) Jharkhand

The State Govt. of Jharkhand has submitted project proposals in respect of five districts for implementation of MsDP during 12th Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP. The State Govt. has submitted the declaration in appendix-II of guidelines of MsDP for all the projects:-

- I. The cost estimates proposed for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/design prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- II. It has been ensured that all the school where ACRs, Labs and Hostels are proposed have at least 25 % enrolment of the minority students.

H. Mishra

- III. It has been ensured that there is no duplication of the work with any scheme of the Central Government or a State Government and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted in this regard.
- IV. The land for all construction activities is available.
- V. The maintenance and the recurring cost related to the assets proposed in this plan will be borne by the State Govt.
- VI. The Plan proposal has been recommended by the State Level Committee for PM's New 15 Point Programme.

2. The proposal submitted by the State Government were considered by the Empowered Committee in its 120th meeting. During the meeting the Empowered Committee observed that the State has not furnished DPR/Cost Abstract for many projects. The Empowered Committee accordingly decided to consider only those projects which were as per the cost norms of the line Ministries. Accordingly the EC with the consultation of representative of Ministry of HRD approved the project related to construction of Additional Class Rooms. The EC directed the State to submit DPR/Cost Abstract for remaining project to be taken up in the subsequent meetings of EC.

3. The Ministry has received Cost details for some projects which were left out in previous meeting of EC in want of such details. Considering this, the Empowered Committee considered those projects for which State has provided cost details. The projects considered by EC are as under:-

- (i). **Construction of Sadbhav Mandap:-** The State submitted proposal for construction of Sadbhav Mandap in various MCBs of Simdega district. The State representative present during the meeting informed that format of the asset have been developed by the State as per local need and will fulfil various requirements as expected from a Sadbhav Mandap. The EC agreed to the proposals at the unit cost of Rs.59.96 lakh subject to confirmation of the State that the projects have the approval of SLC.
- (ii). **Construction of Residential Schools:-** The State submitted proposal for construction of Residential Schools at Sikaripara. It was informed by the State representative that the proposed school will be upto class-X level and will be run on the similar line as followed in case of Eklavya Schools. The State also assured that the asset will be managed and run by the Welfare Department of State Government. The EC agreed to the project.
- (iii). **Construction of School Building:-** The State have submitted projects for construction of various types of asset related to schools in Kuru Block of Lohardaga district. The project was discussed by the EC with State/district representative. Based on the input given by the State/district representative, the EC agreed to the projects.
- (iv). **Construction of 51 nos. of PHSCs:-** The State representative informed that they have submitted a proposal for construction of 51 unit of PHSCs in various MCBs of State. This was discussed by EC and it was informed to State that such asset could only be considered after taking advice on the proposal from the concerned line Ministry i.e. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.
- (v) **Construction of various asset related to Schools/Library/KVC:-** The EC considered the projects proposed for Narayanpur Block. The Chairman, EC observed that the projects for this Block are not clear. He added that the estimate proposed by the State is confusing. The EC opined that the cost estimates for the new and existing ones are same. The EC opined that the cost in new schools may not be same as that of the existing ones. The EC also found that

G. Mishra

in some cases, the estimates of boundary wall are much higher than those of ACRs. The EC directed the State to revisit the project proposals.

3. The EC approved the following projects and decided to release the 1st instalment (all amount in Rs. Lakh) upto 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects:-

I. Simdega district, Jharkhand

i. Simdega Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st instalment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

ii. Kolehira Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st instalment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

iii. Bano Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st instalment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

iv. Jaldega Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st instalment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

v. Kurdeg Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st instalment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

G. Mishra

vi. Thethaitangar

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

vii. Bolba

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of Sadbhav Mandap	60:40	1	59.96	35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99
	Total				35.98	23.98	59.96	17.99

2. Dumka district

Shikari Para Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of Residential School at Sikaripara	60:40	1	315.40	189.24	126.16	315.40	94.62
	Total				189.24	126.16	315.40	94.62

3.

3. Lohardaga district

Kuru Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 st installment
1	Construction of Class Room Computer Room and Library Building Kasturba Gandhi Residential School Kuru	60:40	1	63.91	38.35	25.56	63.91	19.18
2	Construction of Science Room Computer Room and Conference Hall Building Govt Basic school Kuru	60:40	1	64.13	38.48	25.65	64.13	19.24
3	Construction of Science Room Computer Room and Conference Hall Building Upgraded High school Salgi	60:40	1	64.13	38.48	25.65	64.13	19.24
	Total				115.31	76.86	192.17	57.66

G. Mukherjee

(F) Uttar Pradesh

The Government of Uttar Pradesh has requested for change of location of following projects:-

S.No.	Project	Approved by Empowered Committee	Approved location	New Location.
Amroha				
1	Government Inter College	7 th EC held on 23.10.2015	Kuan Khera Village of Dhanaura Block	Village Chuchela Kela of Dhanaura Block
Barabanku				
1	Government Girls Inter College	112 th EC held on 16.03.2016	Bhawanipur of Siroliosur Block	Gram panchyat of Choukhandi of Siroliosur Block
2	Community Health Center		Tikainagar of Puredalai Block	Mugroda Gaon of Puredalai Block

2. The State Government has conveyed that the above proposals for change of location have been approved by Chief Secretary as the Chairperson of State Level Committee for 15 PP.
3. The EC discussed the proposal and asked the MsDP division to examine all such cases on file and thereafter place before the EC for post-facto approval.

The meeting concluded with thanks to chair.

G. Mishra